arXiv:math/0512371v1 [math.AP] 15 Dec 2005

A NON-STATIONARY PROBLEM COUPLING PDEs AND ODEs MODELIZING AN AUTOMOTIVE CATALYTIC CONVERTER

JEAN-DAVID HOERNEL

Université de Haute Alsace, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Informatique et Applications
4 rue des frères Lumière, 68093 MULHOUSE (France)
j-d.hoernel@wanadoo.fr

Abstract

In this paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of a non-stationary problem that modelizes the behaviour of the concentrations and the temperature of gases going through a cylindrical passage of an automotive catalytic converter. This problem couples parabolic partial differential equations in a domain with one parabolic partial differential equation and some ordinary differential equations on a part of its boundary.

1 Introduction

The starting point of this work is a non-stationary model of catalytic converter with cylindrical passages due to Ryan, Becke and Zygourakis [12] that is an extension to the one established by Oh and Cavendish [10,11]. It describes the spatial and temporal evolutions of the concentrations of $N-1$ different chemical species in gazeous phase going through a cylinder and that of the temperature in the cylinder and on its boundary. A stationary problem close to our has been studied by Carasso [2]. Because of its internal symmetry the cylinder may be reduced to the plane domain $\Omega =]0,1[\times]0,1[$ the boundary of which is $\Sigma = \{1\}\times]0,1[$. For $i \in \{1,...,N-1\}$, the concentrations (resp. the temperature) inside the cylinder Ω are named C_{if} (resp. C_{Nf}) and the concentrations (resp. the temperature) on the boundary Σ are named C_{is} (resp. C_{Ns}). The problem is written in a normalized way as

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial z}(r,z,t) & = & \dfrac{\beta_{if}}{r\left(1-r^{2}\right)}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial r}\right)(r,z,t)\,, \\ \dfrac{\partial C_{is}}{\partial t}(z,t)-\delta_{N}^{i}\theta_{Ns}\dfrac{\partial^{2}C_{Ns}}{\partial z^{2}}(z,t) & = & -\gamma_{is}\dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial r}(1,z,t) \\ & & +\delta_{i}\mathbf{r}_{i}\left(C_{1s},\ldots,C_{Ns}\right)(z,t) \end{array}\right. \tag{1}$$

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 35M10, 35K65, 35Q80

Key words and phrases: Catalytic combustion, Parabolic equation, Ordinary differential equation, Coupled system, Transmission problem.

for $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $\delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\delta_N^i = 1$ if $i = N$, and $\delta_N^i = 0$ if not, moreover the constants β_{if}, γ_{is} and θ_{Ns} are nonnegative. The initial and boundary conditions are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rclrcl} C_{if}(r,0,t) & = & C_{if0}(r), & \dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial r}(0,z,t) & = & 0, \\ C_{if}(1,z,t) & = & C_{is}(z,t), & C_{is}(z,0) & = & C_{is0}(z), \\ \theta_{Ns}\dfrac{\partial C_{Ns}}{\partial z}(1,t) & = & 0, & \theta_{Ns}\dfrac{\partial C_{Ns}}{\partial z}(0,t) & = & 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

The functions $\mathbf{r}_i$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, are supposed to verify the following assumptions

(H1) They are Lipschitz continuous with the same constant k.

(H2) For all $(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ we have $\mathbf{r}_i(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \geq 0$.

(H3) If one of the x_j, $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, is equal to 0 then $\mathbf{r}_i(x_1, \ldots, 0, \ldots, x_N) = 0$.

Remark 1 *The physical meaning of these assumptions are*

1. *The function $\mathbf{r}_i$ is the speed of creation or consumption of the $i-th$ chemical species through all the reactions in which the species appears.*
2. (H2) *means that the i-th chemical species is either created ($\delta_i = 1$) or consumed ($\delta_i = -1$).*
3. (H3) *say that if there is no more of one chemical species necessary for the reaction with the i-th species, then the corresponding reaction stops.*

Integrating the i-th equation of $(1)_1$ with respect to r after multiplying by $r(1-r^2)$ allows us to rewrite the system (1) as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial z} & = & \dfrac{\beta_{if}}{r(1-r^2)}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial r}\right), \\ \dfrac{\partial C_{is}}{\partial t} - \delta_N^i \theta_{Ns}\dfrac{\partial^2 C_{Ns}}{\partial z^2} & = & -\dfrac{\gamma_{is}}{\beta_{if}}\displaystyle\int_0^1 \dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial z} r(1-r^2)\,dr \\ & & +\delta_i \mathbf{r}_i(C_{1s}, \ldots, C_{Ns}). \end{array} \right. \tag{3}$$

It is this problem (3) with the initials and boundary conditions (2) that we are studying from now.

2 Existence of the solution

To prove the existence of a solution of (3) the main idea is to perfom what we called a "parabolic regularization" of $(3)_2$ in order to avoid working with both pdes and odes and first show the existence of a solution of the new regularized problem. Then we deduce the existence of a solution of the initial problem using a limiting process.

2.1 Regularization of the problem

We regularized (3) by adding $-\theta_{is}\frac{\partial^2 C_{is}}{\partial z^2}$ with $\theta_{is} > 0$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$, in the equations $(3)_2$ on the boundary to obtain

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial z} & = & \dfrac{\beta_{if}}{r\left(1-r^2\right)}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial r}\right), \\ \dfrac{\partial C_{is}}{\partial t} - \theta_{is}\dfrac{\partial^2 C_{is}}{\partial z^2} & = & -\dfrac{\gamma_{is}}{\beta_{if}}\displaystyle\int_0^1 \dfrac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial z} r\left(1-r^2\right) dr + \delta_i \mathbf{r}_i\left(C_{1s}, \ldots, C_{Ns}\right) \end{array}\right. \tag{4}$$

with the initials and boundary conditions (2) and

$$\theta_{is}\frac{\partial C_{is}}{\partial z}\left(1,t\right) = 0 = \theta_{is}\frac{\partial C_{is}}{\partial z}\left(0,t\right), \qquad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\}.$$

Then we prove the existence of a solution of the problem (4), using the mappings

$$C_{is} \overset{\Psi}{\rightarrow} C_{if} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{if} \overset{\Phi}{\rightarrow} C_{is}$$

Lemma 2 *There exists a nonnegative constant $c\left(T\right)$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^1 \left(C_{is}\right)^2\left(z,T\right)dz + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\theta_{is}\int_0^T\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial C_{is}}{\partial z}\right)^2 dzdt \leq c\left(T\right). \tag{5}$$

Proof. From (4), we deduce after summation on i and using (H1) and (H3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^l \left(C_{is}\right)^2\left(z,T\right)dz + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\theta_{is}\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^T\int_0^l \left(\frac{\partial C_{is}}{\partial z}\right)^2 dzdt \\ & \leq a(T) + \sup_i\left(\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\right)kN\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^T\int_0^l \left(C_{is}\right)^2 dzdt. \end{aligned}$$

with $a\left(T\right) = \dfrac{T}{2}\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^1 \left(C_{i0}\right)^2\left(r\right)r\left(1-r^2\right)dr + \dfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\dfrac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^1 \left(C_{is0}\right)^2\left(z\right)dz$, which leads to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^l \left(C_{is}\right)^2\left(z,T\right)dz \leq b(T) + d\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^T\int_0^l \left(C_{is}\right)^2 dzdt$$

with $b(T) = 2a(T)/\inf_i\left(\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\right)$ and $d = 2\sup_i\left(\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\right)kN/\inf_i\left(\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\right)$. Using Gronwall's lemma leads to the result. ■

2.2 Existence in the cylinder

Assume that C_{is}, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ are known on the boundary (mapping Ψ) and let

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rclrcl} u_f & = & {}^t\left(C_{1f}, \ldots, C_{Nf}\right), & u_{f0} & = & {}^t\left(C_{1f0}, \ldots, C_{Nf0}\right), \\ u_s & = & {}^t\left(C_{1s}, \ldots, C_{Ns}\right), & \beta_f & = & diag\left(\beta_{1f}, \cdots, \beta_{Nf}\right), \end{array}\right.$$

to obtain the following problem in the cylinder

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial u_f}{\partial z} - \beta_f \dfrac{1}{r\left(1-r^2\right)} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \dfrac{\partial u_f}{\partial r}\right) & = & 0, \\ u_f\left(r,0,t\right) & = & u_{f0}\left(r\right), \\ u_f\left(1,z,t\right) & = & u_s\left(z,t\right), \\ \dfrac{\partial u_f}{\partial r}\left(0,z,t\right) & = & 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{6}$$

Performing the change of fonction $w_f\left(r,z,t\right) = u_f\left(r,z,t\right) - u_s\left(z,t\right)$ we have the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial w_f}{\partial z} - \beta_f \dfrac{1}{r\left(1-r^2\right)} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \dfrac{\partial w_f}{\partial r}\right) & = & -\dfrac{\partial u_s}{\partial z}, \\ w_f\left(r,0,t\right) & = & w_0\left(r,t\right), \\ w_f\left(1,z,t\right) & = & 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial w_f}{\partial r}\left(0,z,t\right) & = & 0, \end{array} \right. \tag{7}$$

with $w_0\left(r,t\right) = u_{f0}\left(r\right) - u_s\left(0,t\right) = u_{f0}\left(r\right) - u_{f0}\left(1\right)$.

Definition 3 *1. We set*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L^2_{r(1-r^2)}\left(0,1\right) & = & \left\{u \mid r \mapsto u\left(r\right)\sqrt{r\left(1-r^2\right)} \in L^2\left(0,1\right)\right\}, \\ L^2_r\left(0,1\right) & = & \left\{u \mid r \mapsto u\left(r\right)\sqrt{r} \in L^2\left(0,1\right)\right\}. \end{array}$$

$L^2_{r(1-r^2)}\left(0,1\right)$ (resp. $L^2_r\left(0,1\right)$) is a Hilbert space for the norm associated to the scalar product

$$\langle u,v\rangle_{2,r(1-r^2)} = \int_0^1 uvr\left(1-r^2\right)dr \text{ (resp. } \langle u,v\rangle_{2,r} = \int_0^1 uvrdr\text{).}$$

2. Let

$$W_r = \left\{u \in \left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}\left(0,1\right)\right)^N \mid \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \in \left(L^2_r\left(0,1\right)\right)^N\right\},$$

$$W_{r0} = \left\{u \in W_r \mid u\left(1\right) = 0\right\},\ W_r\left(T\right) = \left\{u \in L^2\left(\left]0,1\right[\times \left]0,T\right[; W_r\right)\right\}$$

and W'_{r0} be the dual space of W_{r0}.

3. The spaces W_r, W_{r0} and $W_r\left(T\right)$ are equipped with the norms

$$\|u\|^2_{W_r} := \int_0^1 \|u\|^2 r\left(1-r^2\right)dr + \int_0^1 \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right\|^2 rdr,\ \ \|u\|^2_{W_{r0}} := \int_0^1 \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right\|^2 rdr,$$

$$\|u\|^2_{W_r(T)} := \int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1 \|u\|^2 r\left(1-r^2\right)drdzdt + \int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1 \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right\|^2 rdrdzdt,$$

where $\|.\|$ is the Euclidian norm of $\mathbb{R}^N$.

We establish some properties of these spaces

Lemma 4 *1. We have the continuous embeddings*

$$L^2(0,1) \underset{\rightarrow}{\subseteq} L_r^2(0,1) \underset{\rightarrow}{\subseteq} L_{r(1-r^2)}^2(0,1).$$

2. For all u in W_{r0}, we have

$$\int_0^1 \|u\|^2 r\left(1-r^2\right) dr \leq \frac{3}{16}\int_0^1 \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right\|^2 r dr. \tag{8}$$

Then the injection of W_{r0} in $\left(L_{r(1-r^2)}^2(0,1)\right)^N$ is continuous and compact and the embedding is dense.

3. W_{r0} is a Hilbert space for the norm above.

Proof. 1. As $\forall r \in [0,1]$, $0 \leq r(1-r^2) \leq r \leq 1$, we deduce the result from Kufner [9] .
2. For all a such that $0 < a < 1$ we have as $u(1) = 0$ that

$$\|u(a)\| = \left\|-\int_a^1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} dr\right\| \leq \int_a^1 \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right\| \sqrt{r}\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} dr.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalitie, Integrating and multiplying by $a(1-a^2)$ leads to the result. We show the compactness of the injection of W_{r0} in $\left(L_{r(1-r^2)}^2(0,1)\right)^N$ and the density of the embedding as in Dautray-Lions [4]. For more details, see Hoernel [6].
3. Immediate consequence of 2. ■

Definition 5 *Let $u_{f0} \in \left(L_{r(1-r^2)}^2(0,1)\right)^N$ and $u_s \in L^2\left(0,T;\left(H^1(0,1)\right)^N\right)$. A function w_f is called a **weak solution** of (7) if and only if $w_f \in W_r(T)$, $\frac{\partial w_f}{\partial z} \in L^2(]0,1[\times]0,T[;W'_{r0})$, $w_f(r,0,t) = w_0(r,t)$, and if for every test-function $\varphi \in L^2(]0,1[\times]0,T[;W_{r0})$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial w_f}{\partial z}\cdot\varphi\right) r(1-r^2)\, drdzdt + \int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1 \left(\beta_f \frac{\partial w_f}{\partial r}\cdot\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}\right) rdrdzdt \\ &= -\int_0^T\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial z}\cdot\int_0^1 \varphi r(1-r^2)\, dr\right) dzdt.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

To prove the existence of a weak solution of (7) we define the second order elliptic operator $L : W_{r0} \to W'_{r0}$ by

$$\forall w, v \in W_{r0} : \langle Lw, v\rangle_{\langle W'_{r0}, W_{r0}\rangle} = \int_0^1 \left(\beta_f \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\cdot\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right) rdr$$

and the operator T from $\left(L_{r(1-r^2)}^2(0,1)\right)^N$ in itself giving for each function g taken in $\left(L_{r(1-r^2)}^2(0,1)\right)^N$ the unique element $T(g)$ in W_{r0} that is the weak solution of $L(T(g)) = gr(1-r^2)$ by

$$\int_0^1 \left(\beta_f \frac{\partial T(g)}{\partial r}\cdot\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}\right) rdr = \int_0^1 (g\cdot\varphi)\, r\left(1-r^2\right) dr, \qquad \forall \varphi \in W_{r0}. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 6 *1. The operator T is*

- *Self-adjoint:* $\forall f,g\in\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N:\langle T(f),g\rangle_{r(1-r^2)}=\langle f,T(g)\rangle_{r(1-r^2)}$
- *Positive:* $\forall f\in\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N:\langle T(f),f\rangle_{r(1-r^2)}\geq 0$
- *Non-degenerated:* $\forall f\in\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N:\langle T(f),f\rangle_{r(1-r^2)}=0\Rightarrow f=0.$

2. Moreover there exists a Hilbert basis $\{\omega^j\}_j$ of both $\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N$ and W_{r0} made of eigenfunctions of T and L.

Proof. 1. Immediate.
2. As the injection of W_{r0} in $\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N$ is compact, T is a compact operator from $\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N$ in itself. Taking into acount the properties of T, the Theorem VI.11 from Brezis [1, page 97] implies the existence of a Hilbert basis $\{\omega^j\}_j$ of $\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N$ made of eigenfunctions of T and of L. The Remark 29 from Brezis [1, page 193] implies that this basis is also a Hilbert basis of W_{r0}. ■
We show the following existence result

Proposition 7 *Let u_0 and u_s as in Definition 5. Then, there exists at least one **weak solution** w_f of (7).*

Proof. Let $\{\omega^j\}_j$ be a basis of W_{r0} made of eigenfunctions of L with eigenvalues λ_j

$$\left\langle L\left(\omega^j\right),\varphi\right\rangle_{\left\langle W'_{r0},W_{r0}\right\rangle}=\lambda_j\int_0^1\left(\omega^j\cdot\varphi\right)r\left(1-r^2\right)dr,\qquad\forall\varphi\in W_{r0}.$$

For all φ in W_{r0}, there exists a sequence $(\gamma_j)_j$ such that $\varphi=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\gamma_j\omega^j$. We write $P_m(\varphi)=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\gamma_j\omega^j$ the Galerkin approximation of order m of φ. Properties of $\{\omega^j\}_j$ implies that the operator P_m is a continuous orthogonal projector from W_{r0} (resp. $\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N$) to the subspace of W_{r0} (resp. of $\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N$) generated by $\omega^1,\dots,\omega^m$. Moreover

$$\|P_m(\varphi)\|_{W_{r0}}\leq\|\varphi\|_{W_{r0}}\ ;\ \|P_m(\varphi)\|_{2,r(1-r^2)}\leq\|\varphi\|_{2,r(1-r^2)},\qquad\forall\varphi\in W_{r0}.$$

We establish the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\int_0^1\|P_m(w_f)\|^2(r,s,t)\,r(1-r^2)drdt&\leq C(T),\\ \int_0^T\int_0^s\int_0^1\left\|\frac{\partial P_m(w_f)}{\partial r}\right\|^2(r,z,t)\,rdrdzdt&\leq C(T),\\ \left\|\frac{\partial P_m(w_f)}{\partial z}\right\|_{L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[;W'_{r0}\right)}&\leq C(T),\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

for almost every s in $]0,1[$, where $C(T)$ is a nonnegative constant that only depends of the problem (7) datas and T, but independant of m. Then, there exists a subsequence of $\left(P_m\left(w_f\right)\right)_m$ still noted $\left(P_m\left(w_f\right)\right)_m$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_m\left(w_f\right) &\underset{m\to+\infty}{\rightharpoonup} w_f, \text{ weakly in } L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N\right),\\
\frac{\partial P_m\left(w_f\right)}{\partial r} &\underset{m\to+\infty}{\rightharpoonup} \tfrac{\partial w_f}{\partial r}, \text{ weakly in } L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;\left(L^2_r(0,1)\right)^N\right),\\
\frac{\partial P_m\left(w_f\right)}{\partial z} &\underset{m\to+\infty}{\rightharpoonup} \tfrac{\partial w_f}{\partial z}, \text{ weakly in } L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W'_{r0}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\left(P_m\left(w_f\right)\right)_m$ weakly converges to w_f in $L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W_{r0}\right)$ and w_f verifies the variationnal formulation (9). ■

Remark 8 *If* u_{f0} *belongs to* W_r *then* $\frac{\partial w_f}{\partial z}\in L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N\right)$

Remark 9 *From* $L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W_{r0}\right)\subset L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N\right)$, $L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N\right)\subset L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W'_{r0}\right)$ *and the fact that we have* $w_f\in L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W_{r0}\right)$ *and* $\frac{\partial w_f}{\partial z}\in L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W'_{r0}\right)$, *using Proposition* 23.23 *from Zeidler* [13, page 422] *we obtain that* $w_f\in C\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;\left(L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N\right)$ *which allows us to interpret the initial condition.*

Corollary 10 *The problem* (6) *admits a weak solution* $u_f\in W_r(T)$ *such that*

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1\left(\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial z}\cdot\varphi\right)r\left(1-r^2\right)drdzdt+\int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1\left(\beta_f\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial r}\cdot\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial r}\right)rdrdzdt=0,$$

for all $T>0$ *and all* $\varphi\in L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W_{r0}\right)$ *and* u_f *verify* $u_f(r,0,t)=u_{f0}(r)$.

Proof. Let us notice that $u_f=w_f+u_s$ is a weak solution of (6) belonging to the right space and verifying the initial condition at $z=0$. ■

Remark 11 *Since* $\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial z}\in L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W'_{r0}\right)$ *and the function* $r\mapsto r\left(1-r^2\right)$ *is equal to zero at* $r=1$, *we can affirm that for all function* $g:(z,t)\mapsto g(z,t)$ *in* $\left(L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\right)\right)^N$, *the function* $(r,z,t)\mapsto g(z,t)\,r\left(1-r^2\right)$ *is in the space* $L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[\,;W_{r0}\right)$ *and the following duality bracket is well defined*

$$\left\langle\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial z},gr\left(1-r^2\right)\right\rangle_{\left\langle L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[;W'_{r0}\right),L^2\left(]0,1[\times]0,T[;W_{r0}\right)\right\rangle}$$

2.3 Existence on the boundary

We use the mapping Φ. Suppose given the $\frac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial z}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and let

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rlrl} u_{s0} & =^{t} (C_{1s0}, \ldots, C_{Ns0}), & \delta & = diag(\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_N), \\ \mathbf{r} & =^{t} (\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_N), & \theta_s & = diag(\theta_{1s}, \cdots, \theta_{Ns}), \\ \Gamma & = diag\left(\dfrac{\gamma_{1s}}{\beta_{1f}}, \cdots, \dfrac{\gamma_{Ns}}{\beta_{Nf}}\right) & =: & diag(\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_N), \end{array} \right.$$

in order to obtain the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial u_s}{\partial t} - \theta_s \dfrac{\partial^2 u_s}{\partial z^2} & = & \delta \mathbf{r}(u_s) - \Gamma \displaystyle\int_0^1 \dfrac{\partial u_f}{\partial z} r\left(1 - r^2\right) dr, \\ u_s(z, 0) & = & u_{s0}(z), \\ \theta_s \dfrac{\partial u_s}{\partial z}(0, t) & = & 0 = \theta_s \dfrac{\partial u_s}{\partial z}(1, t). \end{array} \right. \tag{12}$$

Let

$$W_z = \left\{ u \in \left(L^2(0,1)\right)^N \mid \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \in \left(L^2(0,1)\right)^N \right\}, \; W_z(T) = \left\{ u \in L^2(0, T; W_z) \right\}$$

and W_z' be the dual space of W_z. These spaces are equipped with the following norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W_z}^2 &:= \int_0^1 \|u\|^2 dz + \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dz, \\ \|u\|_{W_z(T)}^2 &:= \int_0^T \int_0^1 \|u\|^2 dzdt + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dzdt. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 12 *Assume* $\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial z} \in L^2(]0, T[\times]0, 1[; W_{r0}')$ *and* $u_{s0} \in \left(L^2(0,1)\right)^N$. *A function* u_s *is called a* ***weak solution*** *of* (12) *if and only if we have* $u_s \in W_z(T)$, $u_s(z, 0) = u_{s0}(z)$, *and if, for all* ψ *in* $L^2(0, T; W_z)$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial t} \cdot \psi \right) dzdt + \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left(\theta_s \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) dzdt \\ & = \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left(\delta \mathbf{r}(u_s) \cdot \psi \right) dzdt - \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left\langle \Gamma \frac{\partial u_f}{\partial z}, \psi r\left(1 - r^2\right) \right\rangle_{\langle W_{r0}', W_{r0} \rangle} dzdt, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for $\tau \leq T$, *cf. Remark* 11.

Proposition 13 *Let* u_f *and* u_{s0} *be as in the Definition* 12. *Then, there exists at least one* ***weak solution*** u_s *of* (12).

Proof. We use some auxilliary linearized equation and some fixed point as in Chipot [3] or Henry [5]. For more details, see Hoernel [6]. ■

2.4 Existence

We begin by showing some properties of Φ and Ψ

Proposition 14 *The mapping*

$$\Phi : \left(\begin{array}{ccc} W_r(T) & \rightarrow & W_z(T) \\ u_f & \mapsto & u_s \end{array} \right)$$

which for every $u_f \in W_r(T)$ gives the weak solution $u_s \in W_z(T)$ of (12) *verifies*

$$\left\| \Phi\left(u_f^1\right) - \Phi\left(u_f^2\right) \right\|_{W_z(T)}^2 \le T(aT+b) \int_0^T \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial\left(u_f^1 - u_f^2\right)}{\partial z} \right\|_{W'_{r0}}^2 dzdt \tag{14}$$

where a and b are two nonnegative constants independant on T.

Proof. Let $U_s = u_s^1 - u_s^2$ be the difference of two solutions of (12) with same initial conditions at $t = 0$ associated to u_f^1 and u_f^2 in $W_r(T)$. U_s is a weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial U_s}{\partial t} - \theta_s \dfrac{\partial^2 U_s}{\partial z^2} & = & -\displaystyle\int_0^1 \Gamma \frac{\partial U_f}{\partial z} r\left(1-r^2\right) dr + \delta\left(\mathbf{r}(u_s^1) - \mathbf{r}\left(u_s^2\right)\right), \\ U_s(z,0) & = & 0, \\ \theta_s \dfrac{\partial U_s}{\partial z}(0,t) & = & 0 = \theta_s \dfrac{\partial U_s}{\partial z}(1,t), \end{array} \right. \tag{15}$$

with $U_f = u_f^1 - u_f^2$. Multiplying $(15)_1$ by U_s, integrating on $]0,1[\times]0,\tau[$ with $0 \le \tau \le T$ and using (H1) leads to

$$\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_0^1 \|U_s\|^2(z,\tau)dz + \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left\| \theta_s^{1/2} \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dzdt \\ \le k \displaystyle\int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \|U_s\|^2 dzdt + c \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left\| \Gamma \frac{\partial U_f}{\partial z} \right\|_{W'_{r0}} \|U_s\| dzdt \end{array}$$

with $c > 0$. Using Young's inequality with $\varepsilon > 0$ and Gronwall's lemma we have

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dzdt \le \frac{c\varepsilon e^{(c+2k)T/\varepsilon}}{2 \inf_i \theta_{is}} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \left\| \Gamma \frac{\partial U_f}{\partial z} \right\|_{W'_{r0}}^2 dzdt$$

and taking $\varepsilon = (c+2k)T$ we finally obtain

$$\|U_s\|_{W_z(T)}^2 \le \left(c(c+2k)(e-1)T^2 + \frac{c(c+2k)e}{2\inf_i \theta_{is}} T \right) \int_0^T \int_0^1 \left\| \Gamma \frac{\partial U_f}{\partial z} \right\|_{W'_{r0}}^2 dzdt.$$

■

Proposition 15 *The mapping*

$$\Psi : \left(\begin{array}{ccc} W_z(T) & \rightarrow & W_r(T) \\ u_s & \mapsto & u_f \end{array} \right)$$

which for every $u_s \in W_z(T)$ gives the weak solution u_f of (6) *is such that*

$$\left\| \Psi\left(u_s^1\right) - \Psi\left(u_s^2\right) \right\|_{W_r(T)} \le c \left\| u_s^1 - u_s^2 \right\|_{W_z(T)} \tag{16}$$

for a nonnegative constant c that only depends on β_{if}.

Proof. Let $U_f = u_f^1 - u_f^2$ be the difference of two solutions of (6) corresponding to u_s^1 and u_s^2 of $W_z(T)$, with same initial conditions at $z = 0$ and set $U_s = u_s^1 - u_s^2$ and $W_f(r, z, t) = U_f(r, z, t) - U_s(z, t)$ to obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial W_f}{\partial z} - \beta_f \dfrac{1}{r(1-r^2)} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \dfrac{\partial W_f}{\partial r} \right) & = & -\dfrac{\partial U_s}{\partial z}, \\ W_f(r, 0, t) & = & 0, \\ W_f(1, z, t) & = & 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial W_f}{\partial r}(0, z, t) & = & 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{17}$$

Multiplying (17) by $r(1-r^2)W_f$, integrating on $]0,1[\times]0,s[\times]0,\tau[$ with $s \in]0,1[$ and $\tau \in]0,T[$ we obtain using Young's inequality for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\int_0^1 r(1-r^2)\,dr = 1/4$ that

$$\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle\int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \|W_f\|^2 (r, s, t)\, r(1-r^2) dr dt + 2 \int_0^\tau \int_0^s \int_0^1 \left\| \beta_f^{1/2} \frac{\partial W_f}{\partial r} \right\|^2 r dr dz dt \\ \displaystyle\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dz dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\tau \int_0^s \int_0^1 \|W_f\|^2 r(1-r^2) r dr dz dt \end{array}$$

and we deduce the following inequalities from Gronwall's lemma

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle\int_0^\tau \int_0^s \int_0^1 \|W_f\|^2 r(1-r^2)\, dr dz dt & \leq & \displaystyle\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \left(e^{s/\varepsilon} - 1 \right) \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dz dt, \\ \displaystyle\int_0^\tau \int_0^s \int_0^1 \left\| \beta_f^{1/2} \frac{\partial W_f}{\partial r} \right\|^2 r dr dz dt & \leq & \displaystyle\frac{\varepsilon}{8} e^{s/\varepsilon} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dz dt. \end{array}$$

Taking $\varepsilon = s$ we notice that $\frac{\partial W_f}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial U_f}{\partial r}$ because U_s doesn't depend on r to have

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial \left(\Psi(u_s^1) - \Psi(u_s^2) \right)}{\partial r} \right\|^2 r dr dz dt \leq \frac{e}{8 \inf_i (\beta_{if})} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial (u_s^1 - u_s^2)}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dz dt.$$

From the fact that $U_f = W_f + U_s$ using previous inequalities we show that

$$\begin{array}{l} \|U_f\|_{L^2\left(]0,T[\times]0,s[;L^2_{r(1-r^2)}(0,1)\right)^N} \\ \displaystyle\leq \sqrt{\frac{e-1}{4} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial z} \right\|^2 dz dt} + \frac{1}{2} \|U_s\|_{L^2\left(]0,T[;(L^2(0,s))^N\right)}, \end{array}$$

which leads to the announced property. ■

Theorem 16 *For T small enough,* (4) *admits a solution in*

$$W(T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{r} (u, v) \in W_r(T) \times W_z(T) \mid u(1, z, t) = v(z, t), \\ \forall (z, t) \in]0,1[\times]0,T[\end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. Using (14) with $\Psi(u_s^1)$ and $\Psi(u_s^2)$ implies

$$\begin{array}{l} \left\| \Phi\left(\Psi(u_s^1)\right) - \Phi\left(\Psi(u_s^2)\right) \right\|^2_{W_z(T)} \\ \displaystyle\leq T(aT + b) \int_0^T \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\beta_f}{r(1-r^2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial \left(\Psi(u_s^1) - \Psi(u_s^2) \right)}{\partial r} \right) \right\|^2_{W'_{r0}} dz dt \end{array}$$

because of (17) with a and b nonnegative. This leads to

$$\left\|\Phi\left(\Psi\left(u_s^1\right)\right)-\Phi\left(\Psi\left(u_s^2\right)\right)\right\|_{W_z(T)}^2 \leq T\left(aT+b\right)\left\|\Psi\left(u_s^1\right)-\Psi\left(u_s^2\right)\right\|_{W_r(T)}^2. \tag{18}$$

Using (16) with (18) we show that if T is small enough the mapping $\Phi\circ\Psi$ is strictly contractant from $W_z(T)$ to itself which prove the existence of a weak solution of (4) in the apropriate space. ■

2.5 Back to the initial problem

Let

$$\widetilde{W}_{z=}\left\{u\in\left(L^2\left(0,1\right)\right)^N \mid \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial z}\in L^2\left(0,1\right)\right\},\ \widetilde{W}_z\left(T\right)=\left\{u\in L^2\left(0,T;\widetilde{W}_z\right)\right\},$$

$$\widetilde{W}\left(T\right)=\left\{\left(u,v\right)\in W_r\left(T\right)\times\widetilde{W}_z\left(T\right)\mid u\left(1,z,t\right)=v\left(z,t\right),\ \forall\left(z,t\right)\in\left[0,1\right]\times\left[0,T\right]\right\},$$

we have the

Theorem 17 *Assume* θ_{is} *goes to* 0 *for* $i\in\{1,\ldots,N-1\}$*. The solution* (C_{if},C_{is}) *of* (4) *weakly converge in* $\widetilde{W}\left(T\right)$ *to the solution* $\left(\widetilde{C}_{if},\widetilde{C}_{is}\right)$ *of* (1).

Proof. Take $\varphi\in W\left(T\right)$, using (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_0^1 C_{if}\left(r,1,t\right)\varphi\left(r,1,t\right)r\left(1-r^2\right)drdt-\int_0^T\int_0^1 C_{i0}\left(r\right)\varphi\left(r,0,t\right)r\left(1-r^2\right)drdt\\
&-\int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1 C_{if}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}r\left(1-r^2\right)drdzdt+\beta_{if}\int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1\frac{\partial C_{if}}{\partial r}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial r}rdrdzdt\\
&+\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^1 C_{is}\left(z,T\right)\varphi\left(1,z,T\right)dz-\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^1 C_{is0}\left(z\right)\varphi\left(1,z,0\right)dz\\
&-\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^T\int_0^1 C_{is}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\left(1,z,t\right)dzdt+\frac{\beta_{if}\theta_{is}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^T\int_0^1\frac{\partial C_{is}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}\left(1,z,t\right)dzdt\\
&-\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\delta_i\int_0^T\int_0^1\mathbf{r}_i(C_{1s},\ldots,C_{Ns})\varphi\left(1,z,t\right)dzdt=0.
\end{aligned}$$

All the terms are bounded independently of θ_{is} (cf. Lemma 2). To pass to the limit in the non-linear term with $\mathbf{r}_i$, we use the fact that C_{is} is bounded in $L^2\left(0,T;\left(L^2\left(0,1\right)\right)^N\right)$ and the Theorem 2.1 of Krasnoselskii [8, page 22]. This allows us to let θ_{is} going to 0 for $i\in\{1,\ldots,N-1\}$ in the precedent variationnal formulation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_0^1 \widetilde{C}_{if}\left(r,1,t\right)\varphi\left(r,1,t\right)r\left(1-r^2\right)drdt-\int_0^T\int_0^1 C_{i0}\left(r\right)\varphi\left(r,0,t\right)r\left(1-r^2\right)drdt\\
&-\int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1 \widetilde{C}_{if}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}r\left(1-r^2\right)drdzdt+\beta_{if}\int_0^T\int_0^1\int_0^1\frac{\partial \widetilde{C}_{if}}{\partial r}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial r}rdrdzdt\\
&+\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^1 \widetilde{C}_{is}\left(z,T\right)\varphi\left(1,z,T\right)dz-\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^1 C_{is0}\left(z\right)\varphi\left(1,z,0\right)dz\\
&-\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^T\int_0^1 \widetilde{C}_{is}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\left(1,z,t\right)dzdt+\delta_N^i\frac{\beta_{if}\theta_{is}}{\gamma_{is}}\int_0^T\int_0^1\frac{\partial \widetilde{C}_{is}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}\left(1,z,t\right)dzdt\\
&-\frac{\beta_{if}}{\gamma_{is}}\delta_i\int_0^T\int_0^1\mathbf{r}_i(\widetilde{C}_{1s},\ldots,\widetilde{C}_{Ns})\varphi\left(1,z,t\right)dzdt=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Making some particular choices for the test-function φ we deduce that $\left(\widetilde{C}_{if}, \widetilde{C}_{is}\right)$ is solution of (3) with the initial or boundary conditions (2). From (5) and the fact that the space of existence of $\widetilde{C}_{if}$ doesn't depend on θ_{is} we have that the solution $\left(\widetilde{C}_{if}, \widetilde{C}_{is}\right)$ of (3) is in $\widetilde{W}\left(T\right)$ and the existence of a solution of (1). ■

Remark 18 *In the following we will write* (C_{if}, C_{is}) *instead of* $\left(\widetilde{C}_{if}, \widetilde{C}_{is}\right)$ *for the solution of* (1)*.*

3 Uniqueness of the solution

Proposition 19 *The system* (1) *admits an unique solution* $(C_{if}, C_{is})_{i=1\ldots N}$ *in* $\widetilde{W}\left(T\right)$*.*

Proof. Suppose there exists two solutions $\left(C_{if}^1, C_{is}^1\right)$, $\left(C_{if}^2, C_{is}^2\right)$ of problem (1), and set $W_{if} = C_{if}^1 - C_{if}^2$, $W_{is} = C_{is}^1 - C_{is}^2$. For $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$, (W_{if}, W_{is}) is a weak solution of

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rl} \dfrac{\partial W_{if}}{\partial z}\left(r,z,t\right) = & \beta_{if}\dfrac{1}{r\left(1-r^2\right)}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\dfrac{\partial W_{if}}{\partial r}\right)\left(r,z,t\right), \\ \dfrac{\partial W_{is}}{\partial t}\left(z,t\right) = & -\gamma_{is}\dfrac{\partial W_{if}}{\partial r}(1,z,t) + \delta_N^i\theta_{Ns}\dfrac{\partial^2 W_{Ns}}{\partial z^2}\left(z,t\right) \\ & +\delta_i\left(\mathbf{r}_i\left(C_{1s}^1,\ldots,C_{Ns}^1\right) - \mathbf{r}_i\left(C_{1s}^2,\ldots,C_{Ns}^2\right)\right)\left(z,t\right), \end{array}\right. \tag{19}$$

with the initial or boundary conditions

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rlrlrl} W_{if}\left(r,0,t\right) = & 0, & W_{if}\left(1,z,t\right) = & W_{is}\left(z,t\right), & \dfrac{\partial W_{if}}{\partial r}\left(0,z,t\right) = & 0, \\ \theta_{Ns}\dfrac{\partial W_{Ns}}{\partial z}\left(0,t\right) = & 0, & \theta_{Ns}\dfrac{\partial W_{Ns}}{\partial z}\left(1,t\right) = & 0, & W_{is}\left(z,0\right) = & 0. \end{array}\right.$$

Multiplying $(19)_1$ by $r\left(1-r^2\right)W_{if}$, integrating for $\tau \in \left]0,T\right[$, using $(19)_2$ and the fact that the $\mathbf{r}_i$ are Lipschitz continuous with constant k we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^1\left(W_{is}\right)^2\left(z,\tau\right)dz \leq 2kN\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^{\tau}\int_0^1\left(W_{is}\right)^2 dzdt.$$

But $W_{is}(z,0) = 0$ so we use Gronwall's lemma to conclude that $W_{is}(z,\tau) = 0$ which implies that all the W_{if} and W_{is} are equal to 0 in their existence spaces. ■

4 Conclusion

Starting from a non-stationary model of catalytic converter with cylindrical passage presenting many mathematical difficulties we have used some parabolic regularization technics and constructed an appropriate functionnal space to prove that this problem admits one and only one solution for small time.

Acknowledgment.

I would like to thank Professor Alain Brillard for giving me the subject of this paper for my PhD thesis, Professor Paul Deuring for his help in the proof of lemma 4, Professor Samir Akesbi for his advices and Professor Bernard Brighi for many useful discussions.

References

[1] BREZIS H., “Analyse fonctionnelle : théorie et applications.” Masson, Paris (1983).

[2] CARASSO C., “Mathematical analysis of the model arising in study of chemical reactions in a catalytic cracking reactor.” *Math. Comput. Modelling* 18, No 2, 93-109 (1993).

[3] CHIPOT M., “Elements of Nonlinear Analysis.” Birkhäuser, Basel (2000).

[4] DAUTRAY R. and LIONS J. L., “Analyse mathématique et calcul numérique.” Masson, Paris (1987).

[5] HENRY D., “Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations.” *Lect. Notes Math.* 840. Springer Verlag, New York (1981).

[6] HOERNEL J.-D., “Etudes théorique et numérique d’un modèle non-stationnaire de catalyseurs à passages cylindrique.” PhD thesis, Université de Haute-Alsace (2002).

[7] HOERNEL J.-D., “A non-stationary model for catalytic converters with cylindrical geometry,” *Proceedings of the Fourth European Conference on Elliptic and Parabolic Problems - Rolduc and Gaeta 2001*, World Scientific, (2001), pp. 424–433.

[8] KRASNOSELSKII M. A., “Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations.” Pergamon Press, Oxford (1964).

[9] KUFNER A., “Weighted Sobolev spaces.” *Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik*, 31, BSB B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig (1980).

[10] OH S. H. and CAVENDISH J. C., “Transients of monolith catalytic converters. Response to step changes in feedstream temperature as related to controlling automobile emissions.” *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Devel.* 21 29 (1982).

[11] OH S. H. and CAVENDISH J. C., “Mathematical modeling of catalytic converter lightoff. Part III : Prediction of vehicle exhaust emissions and parametric analysis.” *The American Institute of Chemical Engineers Journal*, 31(6), (1985), pp. 943–949.

[12] RYAN M. J., BECKE E. R., and ZYGOURAKIS K., “Light-off performance of catalytic converters. The effect of heat/mass transfer characteristics.” SAE 910610 (1991).

[13] ZEIDLER E., “Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications.” Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1985).